Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection Division of Waste Management Underground Storage Tank Branch 300 Sower Boulevard – Frankfort KY 40601 (502) 564-5981 FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY – DO NOT WRITE IN THIS SPACE

## **DRAFT**

## **UST Impressed Current Cathodic Protection Evaluation**

Date Form Completed	1 1								
1. UST Facility Information									
Agency Interest Number (AI)									
UST Facility Name									
LICT Facility Dissolated Address	Street Address:	Street Address:							
UST Facility Physical Address	City:	City: County:							
UST Facility Physical Phone	Phone: ( ) -	Alternate Phone: ( ) -							
2. UST System Owner Information									
UST System Owner Name									
UST System Owner Contact	Phone: ( ) -	Alternate Phone: ( ) -							
Information	Email:								
	3. Tester	Information							
Name of Person Performing Test									
Certification / License #									
Certification Type (mark all that apply)	□ NACE □ STI □	Other (specify):							
Contact Information	Phone: ( ) -	Email:							
Company Name									
Company Mailing Address	Street Address:								
Company Mailing Address	City:	State:	Zip Code: -						
	4. Cathodic Protection Te	ester Evaluation (mark only one)							
Date of Evaluation	1 1	Next Test Date Due By	1 1						
Reason for Evaluation	☐ New Install (within 180 days)	Reevaluation following repair /	modification (within 180 days)						
(mark only one)	☐ Routine (every 36 months) ☐ Reevaluation following a failure (within 30 days)								
If the remote and the local potential readings do not both indicate the same test result on all protected structure (both pass or both fail), the cathodic protection system shall be reevaluate and/or retested by a corrosion expert. Complete Section 6.									
All protected structures at this UST facility pass the cathodic protection system evaluation and it is judged that adequate cathodic protection has been provided to the UST system. Complete Section 7.									
One or more protected structure at this UST facility fail the cathodic protection system evaluation and it is judged that adequate cathodic protection has not been provided to the UST system. Complete Section 8.									
I certify that the cathodic protection evaluation was performed in accordance with the appropriate code of practice. I further certify that all the information provided on this document is true, accurate, and complete.									
	Printed								
Cathodic Protection Tester Certification	Signature	Date / /							
	License #	License Exp	iration Date / /						

ΔΙ	ı	

5. Corrosion Expert Evaluation (mark only one)												
Date of Eval	uation		1	1 1								
			□ II	nconclusive r	esult from cath	odic protect	ion tester evaluatio	on;				
Reason for E	Evaluat	t <b>ion</b> (mark on	ly one) 🔲 F	☐ Repairs to galvanized or uncoated steel piping are conducted; or								
	☐ Supplemental anodes are added to the UST system without following an acceptable industry code.											
All protected structures at this UST facility pass the cathodic protection system evaluation and it is judged that adequate cathodic protection has been provided to the UST system. Complete Section 7.								☐ Pass	☐ Pass			
One or more protected structure at this UST facility fail the cathodic protection system evaluation and it is judged that adequate cathodic protection has not been provided to the UST system. Complete Section 8.												
•	I certify that the cathodic protection evaluation was performed in accordance with the appropriate code of practice. I further certify that all the information provided on this document is true, accurate, and complete.											
				Printed					Dete			
Corrosion E	xpert C	Sertification		Signature					Date / /			
			ı	License #	4		L	icense Expirat	ion Date	1 1		
				6. Applic	able Evaluat	tion Criter	ia (mark all that ap	oply)				
Structure-to-se current tempo				0 mV with res	pect to a Cu/C	CuSO₄ refere	ence electrode with	n the protective	☐ 850 Off	F		
Structure teste	ed exhib	oits at least 10	0 mV of cathoo	dic polarizatio	n.				☐ 100 m\	☐ 100 mV Polarization		
				7.	Required A	Actions (m	ark only one)					
			o further actior listed in Sectio				tion due 3 years fr	om the date of	☐ None			
Cathodic protachieved.	ection r	may not be a	dequate. Reev	aluate during	the next 90 o	days to dete	ermine if passing	results can be	☐ Reeval	uation		
Cathodic prote	ection is	not adequate	. A repair or m	odification is	necessary as s	soon as prac	tical, but within the	e next 90 days.	☐ Repair & Reevaluation			
				8. D	escription of	f Evaluate	d UST System					
Tank	Сара	acity (gal)	Product		Tanks		Piping		STP	Disp	ensers	
1												
2												
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
9. Impressed Current Rectifier Data												
Manufacturer		Мо	del	Serial N	umber	Rated DC Output		Initial Design or Lastly Recommended Output				
						Volts	Volts Amps		Volts Amps			
Event		Date	Tap S		DC O		Hour Meter			Comments		
"A - F	,,,	, ,	Coarse	Fine	Volts	Amps						
"As Found		/ /										
"As Left"		1 1										

ΑI
----

<u>~</u>											
10. Impressed Current Positive & Negative Circuit Measurements (Output Amperage)  Complete if system is designed to report on measurements (e.g. individual lead wires for each anode are installed and measurement shunts are present).											
Circuit	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
Anode (+)											
Tank (-)											
11. Description of Cathodic Protection System Repairs and/or Modifications											
cathodic protection spotential that is reco	systems. S	ufficient de survey for	tail shall be ms. At a mi	given in or nimum indic	der to clear	rly indicate	rotection sys where the r	stem. Atta eference e	ch a detaile lectrode wa	d drawing s placed fo	of the UST facility and or each structure-to-soil
a) Tanks e) Anodes and Wires b) Piping f) Location of CP Test Stations c) Dispensers g) Each reference electrode placement (indicated by a code: 1, 2, T-1, T-2) corresponding with the appropriate line number in Section d) Buildings and Streets								line number in Section 13.			
☐ Additional anode	s for an imp	oressed cur	rent system	ı (attach cor	rosion expe	rt's design)					
☐ Repairs or replac	ement of re	ectifier (exp	lain in "Ren	narks/Other	'below).		A				
☐ Anode header ca	bles repaire	ed and/or re	eplaced (ex	plain in "Rei	marks/Othe	r" below).					
☐ Impressed currer	nt protected	l tanks/pipir	ig not electi	rically contin	uous (expla	ain in "Rema	arks/Other" b	below).			
Remarks/Other:						$ \langle \langle \langle \rangle $					
Comple	te to docum						on System				tection systems.
Structure "A"	1	Structure	"B"²	Remote	e "A" Fixed Instant Of Itage <sup>3</sup>		cture "B" Fi lote Instant Voltage <sup>4</sup>		Point-to-F Voltag Differen	е	Isolated / Continuous / Inconclusive <sup>6</sup>
					Exa	mples					
Plus Tank Bottom	Pl	us Steel Line	@ STP	-91	5 mV		-908 mV				Inconclusive
Plus Tank Bottom	PI	us Steel Line	@ STP						1 mV		Continuous
								i i		i i	
								i		i i	
								il i		i i	
								<u> </u>		i i	
								$-\parallel$			
Comments			I			1		II		II	

Describe the cathodically protected structure being demonstrated as isolated from unprotected structures (e.g. plus tank bottom).

Describe the "other" protected structure being demonstrated as continuous (e.g. plus steel line @ STP).

Record the fixed remote instant off structure-to-soil potential of the protected structure "A" in millivolts (e.g. -915 mV).

Record the fixed remote instant off structure-to-soil potential of the protected structure "B" in millivolts (e.g. -908 mV).

Record the voltage difference observed between structure "A" and "B" when conducting point-to-point testing (e.g. 1 mV).

Document whether the test (fixed cell and/or point-to-point) indicated the protected structure was isolated, continuous or inconclusive.

Α	ı		

13. Impressed Current Cathodic Protection System Survey  Use this section to document a survey of an impressed current cathodic protection system by obtaining structure-to-soil potential measurements.									
Location Code <sup>7</sup>	Structure <sup>8</sup>	Contact Point <sup>9</sup>	Local Reference Cell Placement <sup>10</sup>	On Voltage <sup>11</sup>	Instant Off Voltage <sup>12</sup>	Ending Voltage <sup>13</sup>		Pass / Fail <sup>15</sup>	
	Examples						, <u>.</u>		
Example 1	Plus Tank	Tank Bottom	Soil @ UNL tank STP Manway	-1070 mV	-875 mV			Pass	
Example 2	Diesel Piping	Dispenser 7/8	Soil @ DSL tank Manway	-810 mV	-680 mV	-575 mV	105 mV	Pass	
							l <u>l</u>		
Comments									
If you have que facility records	estions on how to fill out please visit <u>http://eec.k</u>	this form please cont y.gov/pages/openreco	act the cabinet at (502) 564-59 rds.aspx or email DEP.KORA	981 or visit ou . <mark>@ky.gov</mark> .	r web site at	<u>nttp://waste.l</u>	<u>ky.gov/ust</u> . F	or copies of	

Designate numerically or by code on the site drawing each local reference electrode placement (e.g. 1, 2, 3..., T-1, T-2..., P-1, P-2...etc.).
 Describe the structure that is being tested (e.g. plus tank, diesel piping, flex connector, etc.).
 Describe where contact with the structure that is being tested is made (e.g. plus tank bottom, diesel piping @ dispenser 7/8, etc.)

<sup>10</sup> Describe the exact location where the reference electrode is placed for each measurement (e.g. soil @ UNL tank STP manway, soil @ DSL tank manway, etc.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Record the structure-to-soil potential observed with the current applied (e.g. -1070 mV). <sup>12</sup> Record the structure-to-soil potential observed with the current is interrupted (e.g. -875 mV).

 <sup>13 100</sup> mV Polarization test only – Record the voltage observed at the end of the test period (e.g. 575 mV).
 14 100 mV Polarization test only – Subtract the final voltage from the instant off voltage (e.g. 680- mV – 575 mV = 105 mV).
 15 Indicate if the tested structure passed or failed one of the two acceptable criteria (850 instant off or 100 mV polarization) based on interpretation of the data.